

On quasiplanes in Euclidean spaces

O. Martio, V.M. Miklyukov, and M. Vuorinen

FILE: novo26.tex, 2005-11-06, printed: 2008-2-2, 13.17

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C62, 30C65

Key words and phrases. Quasiconformal mapping, quasiconformal curve, quasicircle, uniform domain, quasidisk, quasiplane.

Abstract. A variational inequality for the images of k -dimensional hyperplanes under quasiconformal maps of the n -dimensional Euclidean space is proved when $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$.

1 Main Results

Below we use the terminology and notation of [7].

Let $\mathbf{R}^n$ be the n -dimensional Euclidean space, $n \geq 2$, let $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a mapping of the class $W_{n,\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and let $f' : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote its formal derivative. We write

$$\|f'(x)\| = \max_{|h|=1} |f'(x)h|.$$

A homeomorphism $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is called *K-quasiconformal* [10, p. 250-252] if $f \in W_{n,\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \|f'(x)\|^n \leq K J(x, f) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $J(x, f) = \det(f'(x))$ is the Jacobian of f at the point $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. The smallest constant K in (1.1) is called the outer dilatation of f and denoted by $K_O(f)$. The smallest constant $K \geq 1$ in the inequality

$$J(x, f) \leq K \ell(f'(x))^n$$

is called the inner dilatation of $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ and denoted by $K_I(f)$. Here, $\ell(f'(x)) = \min_{|h|=1} |f'(x)h|$. The quantity

$$K(f) = \max\{K_O(f), K_I(f)\}$$

is called the maximal dilatation of f [10, Section 14.1].

If $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is quasiconformal, then it is well-known that $f(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathbf{R}^n$ and the inverse map $f^{-1} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is also quasiconformal in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with $K(f^{-1}) = K(f)$.

Let $d(x', x'')$ be the Euclidean distance for $x', x'' \in \mathbf{R}^n$. We write

$$B(a, t) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : d(a, x) < t\}, \quad S(a, t) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : d(a, x) = t\}.$$

Let $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ be a point in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Consider a k -dimensional plane

$$\Pi_0^k = \{y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : y_{k+1} = \dots = y_n = 0\}.$$

Let Π^k be a k -dimensional surface in $\mathbf{R}^n$, i.e. a homeomorphic image of a k -dimensional plane. Fix a point $a \in \Pi^k$ and R , $0 < R < \infty$. Denote by $\Pi^k(a, R)$ a component of $\Pi^k \cap B(a, R)$, $a \in \Pi^k(a, R)$. We say that a k -dimensional surface Π^k is a K -quasiplane, if there exists a K -quasiconformal mapping $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $f(\Pi^k) = \Pi_0^k$.

For $n = 2$, the following result is well known [1]. *A curve $\Pi^1 \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is a K -quasiconformal line if and only if there exists a constant $C(K)$ such that*

$$\frac{d(\zeta_2, \zeta_1)}{d(\zeta_3, \zeta_1)} \leq C(K)$$

for every triple of distinct points on Π^1 such that ζ_2 lies between ζ_1 and ζ_3 .

For more information on quasiconformal lines, we refer to [8], [2, Chapter 14], [9], [11], [18], [12], [17]. Quasiplanes Π^{n-1} in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with $\text{codim } \Pi^{n-1} = 1$ were considered in [13], [16] and, in the setup of Riemannian manifolds, in [14]. Quasiplanes may have a highly complicated structure. For instance, it is well-known that quasiconformal lines may have Hausdorff dimension > 1 and hence be non-rectifiable; on the other hand sufficient conditions for the $(n - 1)$ -rectifiability of quasiplanes Π^{n-1} in $\mathbf{R}^n$ were given in [13]. Below we consider the case of K -quasiplanes in $\mathbf{R}^n$ of dimension $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$.

For an arbitrary open subset Σ on the sphere $S(a, r) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ we define the quantity

$$(1.2) \quad \eta(\Sigma) = \sup_A \inf_{\varphi} \frac{\left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_S \varphi|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^{1/n}}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} |\varphi - A|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^{1/n}}.$$

Here the infimum is taken over all functions

$$\varphi \in W_n^1(\Sigma), \quad \varphi|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0,$$

the supremum is taken over all constants A and $\partial\Sigma$ is the boundary with respect to $S(a, r)$.

The quantity $\nabla_S \varphi$ is the gradient of φ on $S(a, r)$. (The most convenient for our purposes the definition of $\nabla_S \varphi$ on a surface $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ see, for example, in §2 of [15]).

The zero boundary values $\varphi|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0$ are understood in the Sobolev sense, i.e. $\varphi \in W_{n,0}^1(\Sigma)$.

It is clear that

$$(1.3) \quad \eta(\Sigma) \geq \inf_{\varphi} \frac{\left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_S \varphi|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^{1/n}}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} |\varphi|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^{1/n}}.$$

Because $\dim S = n - 1$, it follows from Sobolev's C^0 -embedding theorem that the right side of (1.3) is > 0 at least for every Σ with a smooth boundary $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. To find the best $\eta(\Sigma)$ is an open problem.

1.4. Theorem. *Let Π^k be a K -quasiplane in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then for every point $a \in \Pi^k$ and all $0 < r < R < \infty$, the following relation holds*

$$(1.5) \quad \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{K_O(f)} \int_r^R \eta(\Sigma(a, \tau)) d\tau \right\} \leq D(n, K) \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{n\beta}.$$

Here $\beta = K^{1/(n-1)}$ and

$$D(n, K) = D_*^{2n}, \quad D_* = D_*(K) = \exp(4K(K+1)\sqrt{K-1}).$$

2 The language of differential forms

Let $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and let

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_k, f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$$

be a K -quasiconformal mapping.

Let Π_0^k be a k -dimensional plane of the form

$$\Pi_0^k = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) : y_{k+1} = \dots = y_n = 0\}.$$

We will study some properties of the k -dimensional surface

$$\Pi^k = f^{-1}(\Pi_0^k).$$

Fix a point $a \in \Pi^k$. For $R > 0$ let $\Pi(a, R)$ be the component of $\Pi^k \cap B(a, R)$, containing the point a and $\Sigma(a, R) = S(a, R) \setminus \overline{\Pi(a, R)}$.

We consider the differential form of degree $n - 1$

$$\omega = \sum_{i=k+1}^n (-1)^{i-k-1} f_i df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n.$$

It is clear that for a.e. $R \in (0, \infty)$, we have

$$\omega \in W^{1,1}(S(a, R)) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega|_{\partial\Sigma(a, R)} = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \sum_{i=k+1}^n (-1)^{i-k-1} df_i \wedge df_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n \\ &= (n - k) df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n, \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$*d\omega = (n - k) J(x, f) \geq 0.$$

For a.e. $R \in (0, \infty)$ we write

$$\varepsilon_f(a, R) = \sup_{\omega_0} \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} (*d\omega) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Big/ \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} |\omega - \omega_0| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where the supremum is taken over all weakly closed $(n-1)$ -forms $\omega_0 \in W^{1,1}(B(a, 2R))$ such that

$$\omega_0|_{S(a, R)} \in W^{1,1}(S(a, R)).$$

Denote

$$V(a, r) = \int_{B(a, r)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^n.$$

Because $\mathcal{H}^n(\Pi_0) = 0$ we see that $\mathcal{H}^n(\Pi^k) = 0$ and for a.e. $r > 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Pi^k \cap S(a, r)) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\Sigma(a, r)} \omega_0 = \int_{S(a, r) \setminus \Pi^k} \omega_0 = \int_{S(a, r)} \omega_0.$$

For an arbitrary weakly closed [7] form ω_0 and almost all $0 < R < \infty$ the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} |\omega - \omega_0| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} &\geq \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} (\omega - \omega_0) = \\ (2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= (n - k) \int_{B(a, R)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^n = (n - k)V(a, R). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $f_i|_{\Pi^k} = 0$ ($i = k + 1, \dots, n$) and that for the weakly closed form

ω_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma(a,R)} (\omega - \omega_0) &= \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} \omega = \\
&= \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} \sum_{i=k+1}^n (-1)^{i-k-1} f_i df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n = \\
&= \sum_{i=k+1}^n (-1)^{i-k-1} \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} f_i df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n = \\
&= \sum_{i=k+1}^n \int_{B(a,R)} df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_i \wedge \dots \wedge df_n = \\
&= (n-k) \int_{B(a,R)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

The relation

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} f_i df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n = (-1)^{i-k-1} \int_{B(a,R)} df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n$$

is clear in the case of C^2 -smooth maps. In the general case we approximate the homeomorphism $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ of class $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by smooth maps with the following properties:

- i) $f_s \rightarrow f$ locally uniformly in $\mathbf{R}^n$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$;
- ii) $\|f'_s(x) - f'(x)\|_{L^n(D)} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ for every subdomain $D \subset\subset \mathbf{R}^n$.

We easily see that such an approximation is possible if we use the familiar technique of approximating Sobolev functions by smoothed averages (see, for example, [6, §4.2.1]). Then we fix arbitrary r_1, r_2 with $0 < r_1 < R < r_2 < \infty$. Using the formula (2.2) for smooth functions f_s , we get

$$(-1)^{i-k-1} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} dr \int_{B(a,r)} J(x, f_s) d\mathcal{H}^n = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} f_{si} df_{s1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_{si}} \wedge \dots \wedge df_{sn}.$$

Since $f_s \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $B(a, r)$ and $f_k|_{\Pi^k} = 0$, passing to the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$(-1)^{i-k-1} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} dr \int_{B(a,r)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^n = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} f_i df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n.$$

We divide both sides of this equation by $r_2 - r_1$. Letting $r_2 \rightarrow r_1$, we see that (2.2) holds for almost all $R > 0$.

Thus, (2.1) is proved completely.

Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$ and choose ω_0 such that

$$\alpha \varepsilon_f(a, R) \leq \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} (*d\omega) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \bigg/ \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} |\omega - \omega_0| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} .$$

By (2.1) we have

$$\alpha \varepsilon_f(a, R) \leq \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^n \bigg/ V(a, R)$$

and setting $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ we obtain

$$\varepsilon_f(a, R) \leq \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^n \bigg/ V(a, R) .$$

Since $|\nabla d(a, x)| \equiv 1$ we arrive at

$$\int_{\Sigma(a, R)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{S(a, R)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = V'(a, R) \geq \varepsilon_f(a, R) V(a, R) ,$$

which is true for a.e. $R \in (0, \infty)$.

The function $t \mapsto V(a, t)$ is absolutely continuous on $[r, R]$ and solving this differential inequality we have

$$(2.3) \quad \exp \left\{ \int_r^R \varepsilon_f(\tau) d\tau \right\} \leq \frac{V(a, R)}{V(a, r)} .$$

We put

$$l(a, t) = \min_{x \in S(a, t)} |f(x) - f(a)| , \quad L(a, t) = \max_{x \in S(a, t)} |f(x) - f(a)| .$$

Now,

$$V(a, r) \geq \Omega_n l(a, r)^n , \quad V(a, R) \leq \Omega_n L(a, R)^n ,$$

where $\Omega_n = \mathcal{H}^n(B(0, 1))$. Hence,

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{V(a, R)}{V(a, r)} \leq \frac{L(a, R)^n}{l(a, r)^n} .$$

We use the following bound for quasiconformal maps.

2.5. Theorem. *Let $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a K -quasiconformal map. Then for every point $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and an arbitrary ρ , $0 < \rho < \infty$ the following estimate holds*

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{L(a, \rho)}{l(a, \rho)} \leq D_*, \quad D_* = D_*(K) = \exp(4K(K+1)\sqrt{K-1}) .$$

For a proof see, [2, Theorem **14.8**].

Using (2.6), we see that

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{L(a, R)}{l(a, r)} = \frac{L(a, R)}{l(a, R)} \frac{l(a, R)}{L(a, r)} \frac{L(a, r)}{l(a, r)} \leq D_*^2 \frac{l(a, R)}{L(a, r)}, \quad D_* = D_*(K).$$

We next show that if $\beta = K^{1/(n-1)}$, then for $0 < r < R$

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{l(a, R)}{L(a, r)} \leq \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^\beta.$$

Indeed, because the case $l(a, R) \leq L(a, r)$ is clear, we may assume that $l(a, R) > L(a, r)$. Applying the well-known formula for the n -capacity of a spherical condenser in $\mathbf{R}^n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{n-1} \left(\ln \frac{l(a, R)}{L(a, r)} \right)^{1-n} &= \text{cap} \left(\overline{B}(f(a), L(a, r)), B(f(a), l(a, R)) \right) \\ &\geq \text{cap} \left(f\overline{B}(a, r), fB(a, R) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{K} \text{cap} \left(\overline{B}(a, r), B(a, R) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{K} \omega_{n-1} \left(\ln \frac{R}{r} \right)^{1-n}, \end{aligned}$$

where ω_{n-1} is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional area of the boundary of $B(0, 1)$.

From this inequality we arrive at (2.8). Thus, by (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{L(a, R)}{l(a, r)} \leq D_*^2 \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^\beta.$$

Using (2.7), we have now

$$\frac{V(a, R)}{V(a, r)} \leq D_*^{2n} \left(\frac{l(a, R)}{L(a, r)} \right)^n,$$

and further by (2.8),

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{V(a, R)}{V(a, r)} \leq D_*^{2n} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{n\beta}.$$

The estimates (2.3) and (2.10) imply the following statement.

2.11. Theorem. *Let Π^k be a K -quasiplane in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. Then for every point $a \in \Pi^k$ and arbitrary numbers $0 < r < R < \infty$, we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \exp \left\{ \int_r^R \varepsilon_f(a, \tau) d\tau \right\} \leq D_*^{2n}(K) \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{n\beta}, \quad \beta = K^{1/(n-1)},$$

for every K -quasiconformal mapping $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\Pi^k = f^{-1}(\Pi_0^k)$.

3 Proof of Main Theorem

Fix a K -quasiconformal map $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ with $f(\Pi^k) = \Pi_0^k$. We shall estimate $\varepsilon_f(a, R)$ by $\eta(\Sigma(a, R))$. Setting

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n (-1)^{i-k-1} \alpha_i df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n, \quad \varphi = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \left| df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n \right|^2,$$

where α_i are arbitrary constants, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \varepsilon_f(a, R) \geq \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} *d\omega \bigg/ \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} |\omega - \omega_0| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} |\omega - \omega_0| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & \leq \int_{\Sigma(a, R)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=k+1}^n (f_i - \alpha_i)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=k+1}^n \left| df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n \right|^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Sigma(a, R)} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n (f_i - \alpha_i)^2 \right)^{n/2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^{1/n} \left(\int_{\Sigma(a, R)} (\varphi)^{n/(2(n-1))} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^{(n-1)/n}. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$(3.2) \quad (\varphi)^{n/(2(n-1))} \leq c_1(n, k) \|f'(x)\|^n,$$

where $c_1(n, k)$ is a constant and, in fact,

$$(3.3) \quad c_1(n, k) = (n - k)^{n/(2(n-1))}.$$

Indeed, since the form $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n$ is simple,

$$\begin{aligned} & |df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n|^2 \\ & = \langle df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n, df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n \rangle \\ & \leq \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n |df_s|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality between geometric and arithmetic means we obtain

$$\left(\prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n |df_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n |df_s|^2$$

and hence,

$$(3.4) \quad |df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n|^{2/(n-1)} \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n |df_s|^2.$$

Further, for an arbitrary vector $h \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|f'(x)h|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^n \langle \nabla f_s, h \rangle^2,$$

and setting $h = \nabla f_i / |\nabla f_i|$, we find

$$(3.5) \quad |\nabla f_i|^2 + \frac{1}{|\nabla f_i|^2} \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n \langle \nabla f_s, \nabla f_i \rangle^2 \leq \|f'\|^2.$$

Thus, from (3.4) it follows

$$|df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df_i} \wedge \dots \wedge df_n| \leq \|f'(x)\|^{n-1}$$

and (3.2) follows. Now (3.2) yields

$$\int_{\Sigma(a,R)} (\varphi)^{n/(2(n-1))} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c_1(n, k) \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} \|f'(x)\|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Next we use the inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{t_1} \right)^{1/t_1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{t_2} \right)^{1/t_2} \quad (t_1 \leq t_2)$$

(see, for example, [4, §16 Chapter I]).

We have

$$\left(\frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n |f_i - \alpha_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n |f_i - \alpha_i|^n \right)^{1/n}$$

and hence,

$$\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n |f_i - \alpha_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_2(n, k) \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n |f_i - \alpha_i|^n \right)^{1/n}$$

with $c_2(n, k) = (n-k)^{(n-2)/(2n)}$. Now we see from the definition (1.2) of $\eta(\Sigma(a, R))$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n (f_i - \alpha_i)^2 \right)^{n/2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} &\leq c_2^n(n, k) \sum_{i=k+1}^n \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} |f_i - \alpha_i|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq c_2^n(n, k) \eta^{-n}(\Sigma(a, R)) \sum_{i=k+1}^n \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} |\nabla_S f_i|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

However, (3.5) implies that $|\nabla_S f_i| \leq \|f'\|$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and hence,

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n |\nabla_S f_i|^n \leq (n-k) \|f'\|^n.$$

Thus, we arrive at the estimate

$$\int_{\Sigma(a,R)} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n (f_i - \alpha_i)^2 \right)^{n/2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq c_3(n, k) \eta^{-n}(\Sigma(a, R)) \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} \|f'(x)\|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

where

$$c_3(n, k) = (n-k) c_2(n, k) = (n-k)^{n/2}.$$

Substituting these estimates into (3.1), we have the inequality

$$\varepsilon_f(a, R) \geq (n-k)^{-1} \eta(\Sigma(a, R)) \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} J(x, f) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Bigg/ \int_{\Sigma(a,R)} \|f'(x)\|^n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Thus, we obtained

$$(3.6) \quad \varepsilon_f(a, R) \geq \frac{1}{K_O(f)} \eta(\Sigma(a, R))$$

and using Theorem 2.11, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgements. The authors are indebted to Istvan Prause for a number of very useful remarks and to the referee for a set of detailed suggestions for the improvement of the text.

References

- [1] L. AHLFORS, Quasiconformal reflections, *Acta Math.* **109** (1963), 291–301.
- [2] G.D. ANDERSON, M.K. VAMANAMURTHY, AND M.K. VUORINEN, *Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps*, Canadian Math. Soc., Ser. of Monographs and Advanced texts, A Wiley - Interscience Publication, John Wiley&Sons, Inc., New-York – Chichester – Weinheim – Brisbane – Singapore – Toronto, 1997.
- [3] C. BUNDLE, *Isoperimetric inequalities and applications*, Pitman Advanced Publishing, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1980.
- [4] E.F. BECKENBACH AND R. BELLMAN, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Göttingen – Heidelberg, 1961.
- [5] M. BONK AND J. HEINONEN, Smooth quasiregular mappings with branching, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes tudes Sci.* No. 100 (2004), 153–170.

- [6] L.C. EVANS AND R.F. GARIEPY, Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC PRESS, Boca Raton – New York – London – Tokio, 1992.
- [7] D. FRANKE, O. MARTIO, V.M. MIKLYUKOV, M. VUORINEN, AND R. WISK, Quasiregular mappings and WT -classes of differential forms on Riemannian manifolds, Pacific J. Math. 202 (2002), 73–92.
- [8] F.W. GEHRING, Uniform domains and the ubiquitous quasidisk, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein, **89** (1987), 88–103.
- [9] M. GROMOV, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, Invent. Math. 82 (1985), 307–347.
- [10] J. HEINONEN, T. KILPELÄINEN AND O. MARTIO, Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations, Clarendon Press, 1993.
- [11] S.L. KRUSHKAL, Quasiconformal mirrors, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 40 (1999), 880–892.
- [12] O. MARTIO, V. MIKLYUKOV, S. PONNUSAMY, AND M. VUORINEN, On Some Properties of Quasiplanes, Results Math. 42 (2002), 107–113.
- [13] P. MATTILA AND M. VUORINEN, Linear approximation property, Minkowski dimension and quasiconformal spheres, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 42 (1990), 249–266.
- [14] V.M. MIKLYUKOV, On quasiconformally flat surfaces in Riemannian manifolds, Izv. RAN (ser. math.), 67 (2003), 83–106.
- [15] J. SIMONS, Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, Ann. Math., 88 (1968), No. 1, 62–105.
- [16] J. VÄISÄLÄ, M. VUORINEN AND H. WALLIN, Thick sets and quasisymmetric maps, Nagoya Math. J. 135 (1994), 121–148.
- [17] M. VUORINEN, Geometric properties of quasiconformal maps and special functions. I. Quasiconformal maps and spheres. (English. English, Polish summary) Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Łódź Sér. Rech. Déform. 24 (1997), 7–22. (see also Errata: "Geometric properties of quasiconformal maps and special functions. I, II, III [Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Łódź Sér. Rech. Déform. 24 (1997), 7–22; *ibid.*, 23–35; *ibid.*, 37–58;]. Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Łódź Sér. Rech. Déform. 26 (1998).
- [18] M. VUORINEN, O. MARTIO, AND V.M. MIKLYUKOV, On geometric structure of quasiplanes, Proc. of dept. of mathematical analysis and function theory, Volgograd State Univ., 2002, 21–31, ISBN 5-85534-531-9.

Martio :

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 University of Helsinki
 00014 Helsinki
 FINLAND

Email: `martio@cc.helsinki.fi`

Fax: 358-9-19151400

Miklyukov:

Mathematics Department

Volgograd State University

2 Prodolnaya 30

Volgograd 400062

RUSSIA

Email: `miklyuk@hotmail.com`

Fax: 8442-471608

Vuorinen:

Department of Mathematics

University of Turku

20014 Turku

FINLAND

Email: `vuorinen@utu.fi`

Fax: 358-2-3336595